

Cleveland, OH 44115

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,323 02/17/2004		David Szymanski	INDI 2 00002	1107
27885 75	590 08/14/2009		EXAMINER	
Fay Sharpe LLP 1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor				
The Halle Build			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/780,323	SZYMANSKI, DAVID
Examiner	Art Unit
Clark F. Dexter	3724

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>07 May 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED HUNGER 37 CFB 113C.

within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.				
1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.		
2.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain an accurate statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).		
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).		
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).		
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).		
3.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and		
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.		
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.		
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).		
3.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).		
Э.	\boxtimes	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):		
		Re item 2, the status of the claims section is now inaccurate because the listing of the pending claims has been changed. It should read "Claims 1-3, 5-27, 29 and 30 are pending."		

Re item 9, the Argument Section. Section VIIA, is no longer accurate since applicant has not filled a corrected version of the after-final amendment (applicant is respectfully reminded that the only reason the after-final amendment was not entered is because claim 1 was changed, apparently inadvertently, and it is suggested that applicant filled a corrected version of the after-final amendment to obviate the outstanding objections to claims 15 and 18. Further, in the Table of Contents and the subject Argument section, it should read that claims 15 and 18 have been amended, not claims 15 and 16.

Further, due to the large number of corrections over multiple communications, applicant should submit a complete copy of the Appeal Brief in response to this communication.

/Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724